## NOTES ON ACARI FOURTH SERIES ${ }^{1}$ )

BY

$D^{\text {r. }}$ A. C. OUDEMANS<br>With Pl. VIII-X.

## 1. Acari of Cape-Colony.

The Amblyomma, mentioned in the Tijdschrift voor Entomologie, vol. 39, p. 193, with which Dr. Ed. J. G. Everts presented me, were caught in Cape-Colony in 1881. The $4 \sigma^{\text {r }}$ and 5 of were determined by Prof. Neumann, of Toulouse, as $A$. splendidum Gieb.

## 2. Acari of Africa.

On p. 193 of vol. 39 of the Tijdschrift voor Entomologie there is question of two Hyalomma of unknown locality. One of these ticks I determined with Neumann's Révision de la Famille des Ixodidés as Amblyomma hippopotamense Denny Q. This tick is only known as parasitic on Hippopotamus amphibius. I may suppose, that my specimen, which I received from Dr. A. W. M. van Hasselit, 1882, is original from Africa and from the same animal.

[^0]
## 3. Acari of England.

In May 1883 the Zoological Laboratory of Utrecht received a lot of Anodonta cygnea from London. In many of them there were several specimens of Unionicola ypsilophorus (Bonz).

## 4. Acari of Germany.

Jhr. Dr. Ed. J. G. Everts, of the Hague, presented me with some Disparipes bombi Michael, found on a Heterocerus fossor, caught near Dresden, 1884.

From the same able coleopterologist I received Oribata geniculata (L.), found by him among dryed leaves near Pyrmont, July, 1895.

In 1896. I received from Mr. S. A. Poppe the following Acari, caught in or near Vegesack:

Laelaps agilis (L. C. Koch), or'; in a nest of Mus minutus; 28, 8, 1896 (see below).

Liponyssus chelophorus Oudms., nov. sp., 2 nymphae; in a nest of Mus minutus; 28, 8, 1896 (see below).

Liponyssus muscili (C. L. Koch); 22 nymphae, $1 \sigma^{7}, 5$, , on Vespertilio serotinus; Sept., 1896 (see below).
Argas vespertilionis (Latr.), larva, on Vespertilio serotinus, Sept. 1896.

Glycyphagus ornatus Kram.; 1 nympha, $1 \quad 9$; in a nest of Mus minutus, 28, 8, 1896.

Mr. J. D. Alfien, of Bremen, sent me an Athous haemorrhoidalis F., with parasites, caught 8, 6, 1900, near Lesum. The paratites were larvae of Erythraeus quisquiliarum (Herm.).

He also caught a Bornbus muscorum L. in the Isle of Wangeroog, on 3, 6, 1900, on which crawled 9 Hypoaspis fuscicolens nov. sp. and he picked up the following Acari in the city of Bremen:

Parasitus fucorum (de Geer), 47 ex., on Necrophorus vespillo L., 11, 7, 1900.
Macrocheles marginatus (Herm.), 4 ex., on Necrophorus vespillo L., 11, 7, 1900.

Glycyphagus domesticus (de Geer), numerous specimens in a box with detritus of insects; 11,1900 .

Notaspis humeralis Herm. These were found in numerous specimens, 10, 4, 1901, under the bark of Quercus robur L. in the Bürgerpark in Bremen. These mites passed the winter there.

From the same Mr. J. D. Alfren, of Bremen, I received the following Acari, caught in the Badener Berge, near Achim, near Bremen.

Thrombidium gymnopterorum (L.), 3 ex.
Erythraeus regalis (C. L. Koch), 1 ex.
Both were found 5, 4, 1901, under the bark of Abies excelsa L.
Trichotarsus intermedius Oudms., nov. sp., 5 ex., on Stelis phaeoptera Kirby, a bee, living in the nests of Osmia leiana Kirby, another bee, 14, 7, 1900 (see below).

## 5. Acari of Dutch Guyana.

The species of Hyalomma, of Paramaribo, noted on p. 193 of vol. 39, of the Tijdschrift voor Entomologie, is determined by Prof. Neumann as Amblyomma sabanerae Stoll, . It was caught in 1885.

Some years ago, say in 1895, an Amblyomma was caught on a tortoise in the Zoo of Amsterdam. I received the specimen from Dr. H. C. M. de Meisere. As it was determined by Prof. Neumann as Amblyomma geayi Nn. $0^{7}$, I presume the tick has been caught on Testudo tabulata, from Paramaribo.

## 6. Acari of France.

In the Tijdschrift voor Entomologie, vol. 39, p. 137, I wrote:
*In January 1886 I found a Parasitus in one of the burrows of Limmoria lignorum and of other Crustaceans in a wooden pile, sent from Cherbourg, France, to Prof. Hubrecht, Utrecht. Hitherto I did not find a description of this species in any work".

I have now determined my Acarus as the male of Parasitus marinus (Brady), of which I will say a few words below.

Mr. S. A. Poppe, of Vegesack, sent me some Acari found on a bat, Vespertilio murinus; in Argenton-sur-Creuse, 7, 1898. They were:

Spinturnix mystacina (Klti.), (see below) and Liponyssus spinosus Oudms., nov. sp. (see below).

The following Acari were sent to me for determination by Dr. F. Heim, of Paris, who caught them in Buré, Meurthe et Moselle.

Parasitus coleoptratorum (L.), deutonympha; in dung in a greenhouse, 9, 1900.

Thrombidium holosericeum (L.), larvae; on Gryllotalpa vulgaris, 6, 1900; on Ophion luteum, 8, 1900; on Musca domestica, 8, 1900 ; on grashoppers, $9,1900$.

Thrombidium gymnopterorum (L.) nympha and adult, on plants, 9, 1900.

Bdella longicornis (L.), on plants, 9, 1900.
Tetronychus telarius (L.), on Datura stramonium, 11, 1900.
Notaspis trimaculatus (C. L. Koch), on a cadaver.
Tyroglyphus agilis Cam., in dung in a greenhouse, 11, 1900.
In July and August, 1900, Jhr. Dr. Ed. J. G. Everts, of the Hague, stayed some weeks in Cauterets (Hautes Pyrenées) and collected the following Acari:

Parasitus coleoptratorum (L.), 3 ex. » crassipes (L.), 3 ex.
» evertsi Oudms., 1 ex., nov. sp. (see below). » fucorum (de Geer), 7 ex.
Macrocheles marginatus (Herm.), 15 tritonymphae heteromorphae femininae generantes.

Emeus pyrenaicus Oudms., 1 ex., nympha, nov, sp.
Ixodes ricinus (L.) O'.
Anystis baccarum (L.), 4 ex.
Oribata geniculata (L.) 2 ex.
In August 1900, Mr. A. Brants, of Arnhem, made a little trip in Bretagne. Near Cancale he saw great quantities of Ulex europaeus L., wholly covered with the silvery tissue of millions of red mites, of which he secured a few specimens with which
he presented me for a closer examination. The mites were Tetronychus telarius L.

## 7. Acari of the Gold-Coast.

On p. 192 of vol. 39 of the Tijdschrift voor Entomologie I wrote:
»I myself picked up two specimens of an A $\dot{m} b l y o m m a ~ f r o m ~ a ~$ Testudo tabulata, arrived at the Zoological Gardens of the Hague from Paramaribo".

This is an error. The tortoise was a Cinixis erosa and original from St. George d'Elmina. I picked them up in 1887, Prof. G. Neumann, of Toulouse, determined them as Hyalomma affine Nn. $0^{\text {T }}$.

## 8. Acari of Borneo.

In 1889 Dr. J. Bosscha Jz., of Sambas, Borneo, sent to Dr. Ed. J. G. Everts Coleoptera, amongst which there were a few Acari. These belong to two species, one of which is already described by me (Tijdschrift der Nederlandsche Dierkundige Vereeniging, ser. 2, vol. 7, p. 53, Plate I, fig. 1-9); whilst the other is new to science. They are:

Neoparasitus oudemansi Oudms., $1 \quad \sigma^{7}, 5$ ¢.
Emeus bosschai Ondms., nymph., nov. sp. (see below).

## 9. Acari of West-Africa.

A few years ago I received three Thrombidium which were canght, 1889, by Mr. Godefroy in Suppe (West-Africa). I have determined them with the memoir of Dr. Trouessart as Thrombidium tinctorium (L.), 2 ค, $1 \circ^{7}$.

## 10. Acari of Transvaal.

The Rhipistoma mentioned by me in the Tijdschrift voor Entomologie, vol. 39, p. 193, is determined by Prof. G. Neumann, of Toulouse, as Haemaphysalis leachi (Audouin) O. They were caught in Transvaal, in 1890.

The Rhipicephalus capensis Koch, noted by me in the same journal, same page, were recognised by Prof. Neumann as a new species: Rhipicephalus evertsi Nn., described by him in the Memoires de la Société Zoologique de France, 1897, p. 405-407. I have only to rectify the passage that these animals were caught by Dr. Everts. In 1890 Dr. Everts, of the Hague, received them only from Transvaal.

## 11. Parasitus marinus (Brady).

With Plate VIII, fig. $1-5$.
Synonyms: non 1778 Acarus fucorum de Geer.
1779. Acarus fucorum Fabr. Reise nach Norw.
1781. Acarus fucorum Fabr. Sp. Ins. vol. 2, p. 493, n ${ }^{0} .36$.
1787. Acarus fucorum Fabr. Mant. Ins. vol. 2, p. 374, n${ }^{0} .41$.
1790. Acarus fucorum Fabr. Reize naar Noorw. p. 226.
1790. Acarus fucorum Gmel. Syst. Nat. n ${ }^{0} .54$.
1792. Acarus fucorum Oliv. Encycl. Méth. vol. 7, p. 694.
1802. Acarus fucorum Turton Syst. Nat. p. 706.
1844. Acarus fucocorum Gervais Hist. Nat. Ins. Apt., vol 3 p. 142.
1875. Gamasus marinus Brady, in Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1875, p. 307, t. 41, fig. 5-7.
1877. Sejus marinus Murray, Econ. Entom. Apt. p. 167, fig.
1890. Zercon marinus Moniez, in Rev. Biol. Nord. Fr. p. 13, f. $8-13$.

Fabricius already found in 1779 an Acarus in Fucus which he described as follows:

》Acarus fucorum pallidus; lineis duabus flexuosis nigris, pedibus posticis brevissimis incurvis. Corpus parvum, pediculo minus, totum pallidum, lineis duabus dorsalis flexuosis nigris. Pedes octo breves, unguiculati, postici brevissimi, incurvi".

I am convinced of it, that his Acarus fucorum is the same animal as my mite of Cherbourg (vide supra, p. 278). The mite is pale, and has two curved black lines, when seen on its back. (These lines are two tracts of the intestine, filled with opake
particules). The animal keeps its hind legs curved under its abdomen; these legs therefore appear short. All the legs bear strong claws.

Moniez identifies Acarus fucorum Fabr. with Gamasus marinus Brady. I fully agree with him. But why then has he called the animal Zercon marinus (Brady), in stead of Zercon fucorum (Fabr.)?

The systematic position of the animal in not yet fixed, as females are still unknown. Moniez calls the animal Zercon. This however is wrong, because the genital aperture of the male is situated between the sternal shield and the mentum. Therefore the animal must be placed in one of the following subfamilies: Parasitinae, Laelaptinae, Dermanyssinae, Spinturnicinae, or Caelenopsinae. Most probably its place is in the Parasitinae.

Though I am inclined to consider the animal as a Hydrogamasus, I provisorily place it in the genus Parasitus, and I am obliged to call it Parasitus marinus (Brady), as the specific name fucorum is already preoccupied in the genus Parasitus.

If the female will prove that the animal belongs to another genus, the specific name of the animal must become fucorum (Fabr.).

Brady has well figured the nymph and a few details, but he has not well interpreted what he saw. E. g. the horns of the hypostome are called by him »styliform appendages between the mandibles and the palps". As the mandibles in his animal were thrown forward, he calls them: »longer than the palps". Two masses of opake particules in the intestinal track are called by him »eyes". The mandible figured by him apart, is probably that of an adult female. The figure of the animal in toto is probably that of a nymph. He has positively observed males: »In some specimens the lower parts of the legs are liable to run out into irregular subspinous processes". (See Plate VIII, fig. 1).

Moniez has tolerably well described and figured parts of the male and nymph. He is hesitating to call the animal Gamasus, because of the singular epistoma; but if we compare the different epistomata of the species of Parasitus, we are obliged to ackwowledge that the epistoma is the last detail to recognise the genus Parasitus!

I present to my readers new figures of the male (Pl. VIII, fig. 1-5).

There are two dorsal shields (Fig. 1) provided with short, stiff hairs. There is (Fig. 2) one sterni-genital shield (like in IIydrogamasus) and one ventri-anal shield occupying the whole venter (like in Hydrogamasus). On the posterior margin of this shield 5 bristles (like in Hydrogamasus). The peritrema is long, reaching till before the coxae 1 (like in Hydrogamasus). I don't observe any jugular shields, but my single specimen is badly preserved. The femurs 1, 2 and 3 , the genn 3 , and the tarsus 2 are provided with chitinous thumblike tubercles. The mandible (Fig. 4) (wrongly represented by Moniez) is provided with teeth only on the distal fourth part of the fingers; the movable finger bears am S-shaped appendage (like in Hydrogamasus), split at its distal half (Fig. 4 and 5). The ambulacra (Fig. 3) have a thin membrane between the claws and two accessory claws (like in Hydrogamasus). The animal consequently differs from Hydrogamasus only in its double dorsal shield and probably too in having no jugular shields.

## 12. Parasitus evertsi Oudms., nov. sp. ( V ith Plate VIII, fig. 6-8).

Female. Length 980 u. - Colour like that of Parasitus cornutus, furcatus, kempersi. - Shape ditto.

Dorsal side (Fig. 6). There are two dorsal shields, just as in the above mentioned allied species. The skin is scaly. On the anterior dorsal shield we observe six rod-like hairs, four on the level of the shoulders, two in the hind part. On the same level as these there is a great pore in the lateral posterior angle, most probably on opening of a gland. The two vertical hairs are strong bristles. - The posterior dorsal shield has only close to its posterior margin four more or less curved rod-like hairs, though smaller than those of the anterior shield, about as long as the vertical hairs.

Ventral side (Fig. 7). All the shields, except the genital shield, have coalesced; genital shield free. The sternal shield nearly quadrangular, with posterior margin excavated, with an edge like an
accolade. The genital shield strongly remembers us of that of Parasitus magnus; with its anterior margin it follows the excavation of the sternal shield.

Epistoma (Fig. 6) tridentate; the central cusp twice smaller than the lateral ones.

Hypostoma (Fig. 8). The inner malae feathered outward; the outer malae of the usual horn-type, sessil.

Palp (Fig. 8). The first free joint (trochanter) ventrally with two slightly undulated hairs; the second article (femur) with a comblike hair instead of the usual trifurcated one.

Legs (Fig. 6) slender; leg $11200 \mu$., leg $41380 \mu$., coxa 1 with a small but distinct spine distally and anteriorly.

Habitat: decaying leaves.
Patria: South of France.
Remark: Probably this is the female of Parasitus Kempersi Oudms. Objections to this supposition are: the different epistoma; the different situation and shape of the hairs of the posterior dorsal shield; the presence of a mentum.

## 13. Key to the species of Parasitus.

Adults.
$1\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Two distinct dorsal shields . . . } 2 . \\ \text { Only one dorsal slield . . . . } 13 .\end{array}\right.$
$2\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Epistoma denticulate, like in Asca } \\ \text { peltata . . . . . . . . . . P. marinus (Brady). } \\ \text { Epistoma otherwise . . . . . . } 3 .\end{array}\right.$
$3\left\{\begin{array}{l}0^{7} \text { horns of hypostome sessil . . . } 4 . \\ \sigma^{7} \text { horns of hypostome pedunculate. } 7 .\end{array}\right.$
$4\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sigma^{\text {T }} \text { tarsus } 1 \text { with long spur . . . P. magnus (Kram.). } \\ \sigma^{\pi} \text { tarsus } 1 \text { without spar . . . } 5 .\end{array}\right.$
$5\left\{\begin{array}{l}\circ \text { with comb-like hair on } 2 \mathrm{~d} \text { joint } \\ \text { of palp. . . . . . . . } P . \\ \text { of with trifurcate hair on } 2 \mathrm{~d} \text { joint } \\ \text { of palp. . . . . . . . . } 6 .\end{array}\right.$
$\sigma^{7}$ femur 2 with long spur and little tubercle.

                                    \(P\). furcatus (G. et R. Can.).
    
        femur 2 with short spur and big
    
        tubercle
    
                            P.cornutus (G. et R. Can.).
    $7\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { No mentum . . } \\ \text { Mentum preseut }\end{array}\right.$

                                    P. kempersi Oudms.
    
            Mentum preseut . . . . . . 8.
    $8\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Colour pale } \\ \text { Colour brown }\end{array}\right.$ ..... 9.
$9\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Demarcation between dorsal shields }\end{array}\right.$ ( Demarcation straight . . . . . P. coleoptratorum (L.).
$10\left\{\begin{array}{c}\sigma^{7} \text { femur } 2 \text { with spur and little } \\ \text { tubercle . . }\end{array}\right.$ tubercle . . . . . . . . 11
$\sigma^{7}$ femur 2 with 2 little tubercles. 12.
(Epistoma multidentate; anterior dor- sal shield two times as long as posterior one . . . . . . $P$. exilis (Berl.)
Epistoma tridentate; dorsal shields almost equal in length . . . P. longulus (C. L. Koch).
$\sigma^{7}$ genu 2 with spur directed toward
tibia . . . . . . . . . P. tiberinus (G. Can.).
$\sigma^{7}$ genu 2 with spur directed toward
femur . . . . . . . . . P. dentipes (C. L. Koch).
$13\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { q ventral shield posteriorly fused } \\ \text { with dorsal . . . . . . . } P . \text { calcaratus (C. L. Koch). } \\ \text { q ventral shield free . . . . . } 14 .\end{array}\right.$
14 Epistoma bidentate . . . . . P. wasmanni Oudms.
Epistoma otherwise . . . . . 15.
Epistoma tridentate (forms with one dorsal shield; compare above 1 and 11) I. longulus (C. L. Koch).
Epistoma quinquedentate. ..... 16.
$16\left\{\begin{array}{r}\sigma^{2} \text { horns of hypostome with hori- } \\ \text { zontal thorn . . . . . . . }\end{array}\right.$
$\sigma^{7}$ horns of hypostome as usual . 17 .
$17\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sigma^{2} \text { tibia } 2 \text { with long spur or other } \\ \text { apophysis directed distally } . . \\ o^{2} \text { tibia } 2 \text {. crassipes (L.). } .\end{array}\right.$
$18\left\{\begin{array}{c}\sigma^{2} \text { femur } 2 \text { with } 2 \text { little tubercles. } P \text {. septentrionalis Oudms. } \\ \sigma^{\prime} \text { femur } 2 \text { with moderate spathulate } \\ \text { apophysis . . . . . . . . P. meridionalis (Berl.). }\end{array}\right.$

## 14. Hydrogamasus salimus Lab.

Synonyms:
1851. Gamasus sulinus Lab. in Ann. Soc. Ent. Fr. p. 297, tab. 9 , fig. 1.
1851. Gamasus maritimus Lab. in Ann. Soc. Ent. Fr. p. 298, tab. 9 , fig. 2.
1877. Sejus salinus Murray, Econ. Entom., Apt., p. 166.
1877. Sejus maritimus Murray, Econ. Entom., Apt., p. 166, fig. .
1881. Gamasus litoralis G. et R. Can. iu Att. R. Ist. Ven. Sc. Lett. Art, vol. 7, p. 2, t. 8, fig. $2 a, 2 b, 2 c$.
1882. Gdmasus littoralis G. et R. Can. I Gamasi italiani, p. 46, t. 5, fig. $2,2 a, 2 b, 2 c$.
1885. Gamasus littoralis Cau. Prosp. Acarof. Ital. I, p. 72.
1890. Gamasus littoralis Mon. in Rev. Biol. Norl. Fr. p. 11.
1892. Hylrogamasus littoralis Berl. Ac. Myr. Scorp. Ital. 68, 6.

Laboulbène describes and figures under the name of Gamasus salinus an Acarus which proves to be the nympha of the animal called afterwards Gamasus litoralis G. et R. Can. We have ouly to compare his description and figure with those of Berlese to be at once couvinced of my assertion. Why Berlese has he not drawn our attention on this. striking fact? Why has he not at all mentioned Gamasus salinus of Laboulbène in his work entitled Ordo Mesostigmata?

In the same journal Laboulbène describes and draws another Acarus under the name of Gamasus maritimus, which cannot be but the adult female of the same animal. Only compare Laboulbè̀e's fig. 2 with Berlese's fig. 1. And yet Berlese says in his Ordo Mesostigmata, p. 65 »Gamasus maritimus Lab. videtur esse

Gamasus coleoptratorum L." It is impossible not to be surprised at such a suggestion of such an able acarologist as Berlese. Has he wholly overlooked that Gumasus coleoptratorum has two dorsal shields, whilst G. maritimus of Laboulbène is only protected by one?

The description of Linné's Acarus littoralis does not fit on Gamasus litoralis G. et R. Can. It is probably a Trombidium.

## 15. Laelaps (C. L. Koch).

The genus Laelaps was created by C. L. Косн in 1836, with Laelaps agilis C. L. Koch as type (Косн, Deu. Cr. Myr. Arachn. 4, 19).

In 1842 Koch took Laelaps hilaris C. L. Koch as type of the genus (Косн, Ueb. des Arachn. Syst. v. 3, p. 88).

At present we know that this is the same animal.
In 1882 G. et R. Canestrinı created the genus Stilochirus for a mutilated male Acarus, of which the mandibles were very different from those of all the known Laelaptinae. Berlese examined the specimen and described the characters of the genus in August 1892 in fasc. $70, \mathrm{n}^{\circ} .13$ of his Acari, Myr. et Scorp. Ital. The principal character is undoubtedly the styliform mandible, which I will consider as that which distinguishes the genus from all the other genera of Laelaptinae.

Now I found several males of Laelaps agilis C. L. Koch. Their mandibles are styliform! as I will describe below.

Consequently the mites of C. L. Косh and Canestrini belong to the same genus, which, according to the rules of nomenclature, must, in future, be called Laelaps C. L. Koch.

## 16. Laelaps agilis C. L. Koch.

(With Plate VIII, fig. 9-13).
Hitherto the male of Laelaps agilis C. L. Koch was unknown. I have found several males on Arvicola arvalis, Mustela vulgaris, etc.

Protonympha. I could not distinguish males from females, all having nymphal characters, no genitalia.

Deutomympha. It seems that the deutonymphae are always generantes; my specimens at least have genitalia.

The deutonympha feminina generans is described und delineated by Berlese (Ac. Myr. Scorp. Ital. fasc. $39 \mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1) good enough to recognize the animal.

Deutonympha masculina generans. Length $500 \mu$. Dorsal side resembling that of the deut. fem. gen. Tentral side (Fig. 9). The sternal, genital, ventral and anal shields have coalesced and bear 8 stiff bristles on the sternal and 12 on the ventral part. Leys. The coxae (Fig. 9) lack the known spurs of the female; the coxae 2 and 3 have only a stiff bristle. The coxa 2 has a very sharp chitinous spur directed forward. The coxae 3 are large, with a singular hump or protuberance directed forward and outward.

Tritonymphae. They too seem to be always generantes, all having genitalia and the females unvariably bearing an egg. The female is dilineated recognizable by Berlese (loc. cit.).

Tritonympha masculina generans. Length $800 \mu$. - Dorsal side resembling that of the trit. fem. gen. Ventral side (Fig. 10) resembling that of the deutonympha. Legs wholly unarmed and of the usual shape, except coxa 4 , which is a little stronger than the others. Tarsi 2, 3 and 4 provided with 4 strong spines (Fig. 11).

Epistoma. This is the same in $\sigma^{\gamma}$ and $\rho$, rounded anteriorly.
Hypostoma. The hypostoma of $\sigma^{7}$ and $q$ are simular. I don't observe interior malae; the exterior ones or horns are knife-like, transparent blades.

Mandibles. Those of the $q$ are very well repiesented by Berlese (loc. cit.). Those of male 1 have figured in fig. 12 and 13. Like in Laelaps (Stylochirus) rovennensis there is a long style or copulation organ and a short transparent appendage at its base. I have distinctly observed and drawn the "pulvillum" or "flagellum" (Fig. 12 and 13, a). This is placed on the ventral side of the chela. I consider $b$ as the rest of a fixed finger, $c$ as the rest of a movable finger, and $d$ as the spur comparable with that of the spur of all the other $\sigma^{\text {Laelaps }}$ ( $=$ Hypoaspis, see below).

The movable finger is here only a very trausparent appendage of the spur; they have coalesced.

Peritrema. Long in females, short in males.

## 17. Key to the species of Laelaps (C. L. Koch).

1 $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Exterior malae absent. . . . L. rovennensis G.etR.Can.). } \\ \text { Exterior malae present. . . . L. agilis (C. L. Koch). }\end{array}\right.$

## 18. Hypoaspis Can.

According to the discovery mentioned above (sub Laelaps), we are obliged to separate all the other species of the old genus Laelaps, to unite them in a new genus.

One of these species, viz. Iphis globulus (C. L. Koch) is type of the genus Leionotus C. L. Koch 1842 (Косн Ueb. d. Arachn. Syst. vol. 5, tab. 10, fig. 51). It is the same animal as Laelaps placentula Berl. 1887 (Ac. Myr. Scorp. Ital. 44, 3). But. Leionotus is preoccupied by Kirby in $18 \pm 7$.

Another op the species, viz. Gamasus stabularis C. L. Koch, is type of the genus Laelaps Berl. 1882 (in Att. R. Ist. Ven. Sc. Lett. Art. ser. 5, vol. 8, p. 19). But Laelaps is preoccupied by Косн in 1836, as we saw hereabove (sub Laelaps).

A third species, viz. Gamasus krameri G. et R. Can. was chosen as type of the genus Hypoaspis by G. Canestrini 1885 (Can. Prosp. Acarof. Ital. p. 51-55), and, as this name is uot preoccupied, it must, according to the rules of nomenclature, be adopted as the name of the present genus, including all the known species of Laelaps (hitherto), except Laelaps agilis C. L. Koch.

## 18. a. Hypoaspis fuscicolens Oudms., nov. sp.

This species will be described in one of the subsequent Series.

## 19. Emeus pyrenaicus Oudms., nov, sp.

(White Plate VIII, fig. 14 and 15).
Nympha. Length $700 \mu$. - Colour pale. - Shape oval, with two tops, more or less broad-spool-shaped. - The dorsal side
(Fig 14) is protected by a shield, the outlines of which imitate an egg with the top backward, the blunt end, however, tapering too. There are only a few hairs on this shield. Those of the fore-half are somewhat stronger than those of the backhalf, which are minute. The unprotected margin of the body does not show hairs.

The central side (Fig. 15) has only two shields, an elongate pentagonal sternal one with 8 hairs, and an oval anal one, with 3 hairs.

The peritrema reaches the sides of leg 1 .
We find the most characteristic feature in the legs. When contemplating the ventral side (Fig. 15), we observe that the proportions of the coxae are of the usual type: those of the 2 d pair being the largest; follow those of the 3d pair, whilst those of the 1st pair are the smallest. These legs themselves, however, don 't obey this rule, for the first pair surpasses all the others in length and thickness. Curious is the tarsus 1 too, for this is enormous, provided with a distinct sense-organ.

The epistoma is of the usual type (Fig. 14).
Mabital: decaying leaves.
Patria: South of France.

## 20. Emeus bosschai Oudms., nov. sp.

(With Plate VIIl, fig. 16-18).
Only one specimen, a nymph, caught by Dr. J. Bosscha Jz., with beetles, probably among decaying leaves, near Sambas, Borneo.

The systematic position of this animal is not certain, because the $\sigma$ and $q$ are unknown. Yet the nympha is immedately recognizable as one of the Parasitinae or Laelaptinae. The epistoma is so Emeus-like, that I don't hesitate to call the mite an Emeus.

Length $1120 \mu$. Colour yellowish-brown, that of the hairs darker. The shape seen from of above, is a broad ellipse. On the dorsal side (Fig. 16) there is one single shield, oval, with the top backward. The anterior margin of the shield is two times slightly excovated; the posterior margin rounded. Behind the posterior and posterier-lateral margins of the shield there is a
margin of less chitinised skin, simulating an enlargement of the very dorsal shield. Behind this margin the soft colourless skin is visible. The fore-half of the dorsal shield bears three transverse rows of long spines; the hind-half, inclusive the chitinized and the colourless margin ten rows of smaller spines, arranged in beautiful bows, running from the median line backward and then outward; the bows apparently reaching the shoulders.

The epistoma (Fig. 16) is a feathered pointed blade.
The ventral side (Fig. 17) shows a subpentagonal sternal shield, wide anteriorly, very narrow posteriorly, reaching the level of the centers of the coxae 4 . On the sides of the sternal shield there are two comma-like chitinizations of the skin, belonging to the margins of the foreae of the legs 3 and 4, and imitating metasternal shields.

The anal shield is elliptical. A broom of spines surround the anal shield, fusing with the numerous spines of the dorsal side.

The lypostoma (Fig. 17) has broad horns and apparently no inner malae.

The peritrema (Fig. 17) is long, projecting before the palps.
The legs are short, strong, with a few small bristles. The 2d. leg is the strongest and smallest; than follow the 1st., 3d. and finally the last pair. The tarsus 4 bears long bristles or spines and a pedunculated ambulacrum (a long praetarsus), a feature which Emeus halleri shows in a less degree.

The hairs or spines of the body have transparent distal enlargement with feathered edges; this is wider in short spines, nearly invisible in long ones (Fig. 18).

Habitat: decaying leaves.
Patria: Borneo.

## ?I. Key to the species of Emeus Mégu.

$2\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Body round . . . . . . . . E. ostrinus (C. L Koch). } \\ \text { Body oval . . . . . . . . . } 3 .\end{array}\right.$
$3\{$ Posteriorly broad. . . . . . . E. halleri(G. et R. Can.). Anteriorly broad. . . . . . . E. pyrenaicus Oudıs.
$4\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Hairs on sternal shield as usual. . } 5 . \\ \text { Hairs on sternal, metasternal, ventral } \\ \text { shields and coxae } 2 \text { and } 3 \text { falciform } 8 .\end{array}\right.$
$5\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Post. half of dorsum and venter without } \\ \text { broom of bristles . . . . . . . . . }\end{array}\right.$
$6\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Coxae } 4 \text { close together . . . . . E. petrophilus Berl. }\end{array}\right.$ Coxae 4 far remote . . . . . E. crinitus (C. L. Koch).
7 Only one species . . . . . . . E. bosschai Oudms.
8 Only one species. . . . . . . E. falcinellus (G. Can.).

## $\therefore 2$. Key to the gencra of Laelaptinae.

No peritrema
2.
Peritrema present
3.

Legs 1 with ambulacrum . . . Greenia Oudms.
Legs 1 without ambulacrum . . . Iphiopsis Berl.
$3\{$ Legs 1 with ambulacrum . . . . 4.
Legs 1 without ambulacrum . . . 10 .
\& femur 4 without spur . . . . 5.
\& femur 4 with spur . . . . . 9 .
$5\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Mandibles chelate in both sexes. } \\ 0 . \\ 0 \text { mandibles not chelate . . . . } 8 .\end{array}\right.$
$6\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sigma^{\top} \text { with sterni-giniti-ventri-anal shield Hypoaspis Can. } \\ \sigma^{\top} \text { with anal shield separate (decene- }\end{array}\right.$
$\sigma^{7}$ with anal shield separate (degene-
rate forms) . . . . . . . 7 .
Epistoma with long feathered mucro Emeus Mégn.
Epistoma scarcely pointed . . . . Seiulus Berl.
of mandibles normal; $\sigma^{\gamma}$ a style. . Laelaps C. L. Koch.
8
o mandibles a pin, with an append-
age dentate on outside . . . Berlesia G. Can.

9 Only one genns . . . . . . Neoberlesia Berl.
$10\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Legs } 1 \text { normal . . . . . . . Neopodocinum Oudms. } \\ \text { Legs } 1 \text { very long . . . . . . Podocinum Berl. }\end{array}\right.$

## 23. Liponyssus musculi C. L. Koch.

(With Plate VIII, fig. $19-22$ and IX, fig. 23-26).
Amongst 28 specimens, found by Mr. S. A. Poppe on Vesperugo serotinus, there were 22 nymphae, $1 \delta^{7}$ and 5 .

I am in the opportinuty to correct some of Berlese's statements, as to the $\sigma^{7}$ and $\circ$.

Nympha. Length 360-400 $\mu$., wheu swollen 400-720 $\mu$. Colour white or pale. - Dorsal side (Fig. 19) protected by: 1. one anterior dorsal shield, subpentagonal, reaching the middle of the dorsum, scaly, and bearing 10 pairs of hairs of nearly equal length; 2. one small posterior dorsal shield, subquadrangular, scaly, and bearing 3 pairs of hairs of nearly equal length; and 3. four minute intermediate shields. The remaining of the dorsum is finely wrinkled and provided with hairs of nearly equal length. - Ventral side (Fig. 20). Remarkable are: a subheptagonal, scaly sternal shield with 6 stiff hairs and a small oval anal shield, with top turned backward and with cribrum. The peritrema (Fig. 19) is short and has sometimes the aspect as if hanging with its two euds on the body like the handle of a tea-cup. Epistoma (Fig. 21) a thin transparent blade with point forward and denticulate edges of the distal half. - Mandibles (Fig. 22) smooth, without teeth, and provided with a transparent appendage. - Hypostoma (Fig. 23) with slender horns, provided with a transparent appendage in top, pointed fused inner malae, and 6 long fine hairs. - Coxa 2 with a little tubercle anteriorly.

Male (Fig. 24). The scaly dorsal shield resembles that of the females of $L$. sylviarum (Can. et Fanz.) and L. lacertarum (Cont.). It corresponds with the two dorsal shields of the female. Berlese describes it as »in partes duabus distinctissime diviso"! The dorsum is provided with bristles, not with hairs. Ventrally (Fig.

24 A) all the shields have fused into one long sterni-geniti-ventrianal shield, of which the four parts are tolerably disceruable. The coxa 2 bears a pin anteriorly, and of its two usual hairs the anterior one is a big one (Fig. 24 B ). Of the coxa 3 the anterior hair is wholly transformed in a flat chitinous hook with a wing (Fig. 24 B).

Female. (Fig. 25). The two dorsal shields are scaly. The anterior dorsal shield perfectly corresponds with that of the nympha. The posterior one, corresponding with the part of the dorsum of the nympha, occupied by the wrinkled shin, the 4 intermediate shields and the posterior dorsal shield, is almost piriform, with truncated anterior margin, and provided with 6 stiff bristles and 6 smaller ditto quite posteriorly. When the female is half-fed, the dorsal shield occupies nearly one fourth of the dorsum, which is moreover provided with almost regular, longitudinal rows of hairs. - The sternal shield (Fig. 26) is trapezoidal, somewhat excavated posteriorly, and provided with the usual 6 hairs. It shows, however, the striking peculiarity, that its posterior third part is stronger chitinized than the anterior two third parts, and more lightrefracting, and this may have led Berlese to tell us that sthe sternal shield is only a transverse rod with one hair on each end: vitta transversa chitinea!"

The genital shield is long, reaching anteriorly the sternal shield, posteriorly running far beyond the cosae 4. It is provided with two bristles between the coxae 4, has distinct edges posteriorly, and becomes indistinct anteriorly, where the skin is wrinkled in such a way, as if the genital aperture were not transverse, but longitudinal, like that of Ophionyssus natricis (Gerv.).

The anal shield is long, almost piriform, with distinct cribrum. The venter moreover is provided with many hairs, surrounding the back-balf of the genital shield and the anal shield. The legs are slender (Fig. 25). Coxa 1 has a sharp thorn anteriorly.

## 24. Liponyssus chelophorus Oudms., nov. sp.

(IVith Plate IX, fig. 27-29).
The name Leiognathus was chosen by Canestrini as the chelae of these animals have no teeth. Therefore it is very remarkable that one of the species has chelae with teeth. It is the best proof, that these animals descend from animals with chelae with teeth.

I have two nymphae, found by Mr. S. A. Poppe in a nest of Mus minutus, 28 Aug. 1896, in Vegesack.

The nymphae resemble so strikingly those of Liponyssus musculi (C. L. Koch), that it was at first not easy to observe the differences. Yet there are many.

In the first instance the chelae (Fig. 29). These are distinctly toothed; both fingers with three cusps; the first of the movable one passes the first of the fixed finger. A distinct sense-organ on the fixed one is present too.

Further the scaly dorsal shields (Fig. 27). There are two large and six smaller ones, whilst that of Liponyssus musculi (C. L. Koch) shows two large and only 4 smaller ones. Both are longer than in Liponyssus musculi, so that the anterior shield reaches more backward and the posterior one more foreward here; therefore the space between the two shields is relatively narrower here. The two smaller shields of musculi correspond with the most anterior two of chelophorus. The rest of the dorsal surface is striated, like in other species.

The sternal shield (Fig. 28) is subpentagonal, wide, pointed posteriorly; this point does not reach the level of the centres of the foveae 4. The anal shield small, remote from the sternal nearly the length of this latter shield. Before the anal shield only six hairs, whilst $L$. musculi has 4 pairs between the anal and sternal shields.

The epistoma is reaching far more forward, blade-like, anteriorly with an incision in the middle (Fig. 27).

The hypostoma does not show the transparent distal appendages on the horns, like in L. musculi.

The peritrema resembles that of L. musculi (Fig. 28). My drawing is made from a specimen treated with caustic kali.

The legs are shorter and thicker than in L. musculi (C. L. Koch); especially legs 1 and 2 ; the tarsi 1 have no long tactile hairs. The femurs 1 and 2 have 2 strong bristles dorsally, planted on a tubercle each.

Length 510-580 $\mu$. Colour pale.
Habitat: nest of Mus munitus.
Patria: Germany.

## 95. Liponyssus spizosus Oudms., nov. sp.

(With Plate IX, fig. 30-31.
Female. Length $560 \mu$. Colour straw-coloured. Shape like that of L. albatus (C, L. Koch). Dorsal side (Fig. 30). Besides the distinct shoulders on the level of the legs 2 , the animal shows two distinct intermediate shoulders on the level of legs 1. Moreover the outlines are oval, with nearly parallel sides and rounded posterior end of abdomen. The dorsum is protected by a scaly shield, following in outlines the shoulders and the vertex, oval posteriorly, resembling that of Lip. albatus, mentioned above. But this shield is provided with short and thick spines. The unprotected abdomen bears spines too. At the sides a small portion of the peritrema is visible.

Ventral side (Fig. 31). The sternal shield is nearly trapezoidal, but still longer than in Lip. albatus. The genital shield is well chitinized and may be considered as a fusion of a genital and a ventral shield, resembling that of some species of Laelaps. The anal shield has the usual three spines and posteriorly the cribrum. The ventral and anal shields are flanked with spines.

The peritrema runs forward to the level between the legs 2 and 1.

I could not well distinguish the structure of the hypostoma, but it bears 6 thick spines, 4 anterior and 2 longer posterior ones (Fig. 31). The palpi are thick, but do not show any particulars. The cpistoma is rounded anteriorly (Fig. 30).

The mandibles are of the usual type, having no teeth.
The legs 1 and 2 are thick, having thick and short joints, of which the trochanter only is narrow. The femurs 1 and 2 have on their dorsal side a chitinous transverse bar bearing two spines directed over the dorsum. The legs 3 and 4 are much slenderer.

Remark. The presence of a rudimental ventral shield is remarkable, for it is a proof that these animals descend from animals with a ventral shield.

Habitat: Vespertilio murinus.
Patria: France.

## 26. Key to the species of Liponyssus Klti.

Nymplis.
With 6 intermediate shields . . 2.
With 4 ditto . . . . . . . 3.
$2\{$ Legs slender, esp. 1 and 4. . . L. rhinolophi Oudms.
2 Legs thick and short, esp. 1 and 2 L. chelophorus Oudms.
$3\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Post. dors. shield with } 6 \text { spines . L. musculi (C. L. Koch). }\end{array}\right.$
${ }^{3}$ Post. dors. shield with 2 spines . 4.
4 Post. dors. shield half as wide as ant. L. lacertarum (Cont.)
4 Post. dors. shield much narrower . L. saurarum Oudms.

> Males.
$1\{$ With broom of bristles around anus L.corethroproctus Oudms.
$2\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { With } 2 \text { enormous curved spines on } \\ \text { each side . . . . . . . L. uncinatus (Can.) } \\ \text { Without such unci . . . . . } 3 .\end{array}\right.$
Dorsal shield narrow, surrounded
by unprotected skin . . . . L. musculi (C. L. Koch).
3 Dors. shield wide, occasionally and especially posteriorly surrounded by narrow unprotected margin . 4 .


Females.
$1\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Two dorsal shields . . . . . L. musculi (C. L. Koch). } \\ \text { One dorsal shield . . . . . . 2. }\end{array}\right.$
$2\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Sternal shield present. . . . . } 3 .\end{array}\right.$
No sternal shield more . . . . L. uncinatus (Can.)
$3\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Sternal shield trapezoidal . . . } 4 .\end{array}\right.$
| Sternal shield linear . . . . . L. sylviarum (Can. et Fauz.)

4 mur 1 and 2 dorsally with 2
spines each . . . . . . . L. spinosus Oudms.
Legs 1 and 2 not so thick . . . 5.
Dorsal shield wide, occasionally and especially posteriorly surrounded by unprotected margin . . . 6
Dorsal shield narrow, surrounded by hairy unprotected skin . . . 7 .
Coxa 2 with 2 spines, one forward and one backward; coxa 3 with 2 spines backward . . . . . L. albatus (C. L. Koch). Coxa 3 with one spine forward . L.corethroproctus Oudms. Peritrema reaching coxa 2; dors. shield without constriction in the middle . . . . . . . . . L. lacertarum (Cont.)
Peritrema passing coxa 1; dors. shield with constriction in the middle . . . . . . . . . L. saurarum Oudms.

## 27. Spinturnix mystacina Klti.

## With Plate IX, fig. 32-37 and X, fig. 38-42.

Embryo. - Length $640 \mu$. - Colour white, with strawcoloured hairs and peritrema. I have dissected the embryo out of the mother's uterus. The figures 32 and 33 represent them still in their envelops. I have also cut the envelops, but the embryo did noth stretch its legs, even after being treated with caustic kali.

Fig. 32 shows the embryo viewed dorsally. There are two dorsal shields, a larger anterior and a smaller posterior one. Both are without any markings, but provided with senseorgans (Fig. 34), of which 5 pairs are visible on the anterior and 2 pairs on the posterior shield. The anterior shield is subpentagonal; its posterior edge is deeply excavated trapezoidally to receive the anterior portion of the posterior shield. The shields are separate by a narrow space. The dorsal side shows the following hairs: 4 pairs before the anterior dorsal shield, 1 pair aside, 1 pair near the stigma, 1 pair above coxae 4, 2 pairs behind the posterior shield. The capitulum, the epistoma, the first joints of the palpi and legs are visible beyond the margin of the body. It is obvious that the stigma is ventral; the peritrema first runs dorsally, forward, and then ventrally between the coxae 2 and 3 .

Fig. 33 represents the embryo viewed ventrally. Remarkable on this side are: the legs 1,2 and 3 have already their definitive position, i. e. quite close together, whilst legs 4 are still remote a considerable distance; the legs 4 are not so far developed as legs 1, 2 and 3 , being still winkled. This is a proof that the embryo passes through a larval stage with 3 pairs of legs, after which stage it gets its nymphal pair of legs. The stigma is ventral; between coxae 2 and 3 the top of the peritrema is discernable and a hole, the opening of the excretory organ.

Protonympha. Length $730 \mu$. Colour light straw-coloured.
Fig. 35. The protonympha shows dorsally the two dorsal shields, exactly like in the embryo, but provided with pits (Erosionsgruben

Kolenati). The skin in these pits is smooth, whilst it is provided with numerous pores between the pits. The anterior dorsal shield is provided too with the 5 pairs and the posterior one with the 2 pairs of sense-organs. The dorsum further shows exact the same hairs as the embryo. The stigma is ventral; the peritrema for the greater part dorsal. Remarkable are the two groups of pits in the anterior portion of the shield, quite median, and the indistinct 4 longitudinals rows of other pits.

Fig. 36 is the ventral side of the protonympha. Remarkable features are: the stigma, the end of the peritrema and the opening of the excretory organ. The sternal shield is subpyriform, with a little top posteriorly, provided with 6 hairs. Between this shield and the anal one 4 pairs of hairs. The anal shield is small.

The legs. The dorsal rows of hairs of all the legs are characterized as follows: the trochanter has no hairs or very small ones, the fernur and genu the longest hairs. The lateral rows of all the legs are robust, stiff, and curved generally somewhat backward Of the ventral rows the outer rows show robust hairs on the following joints, directed outward:
$\begin{array}{rrr}\text { leg. } 1: \quad \text {, trochanter, } & \text {, genu, tibia, } \\ 2: \text { coxa, trochanter, femur, genu, tibia, } \\ 3: \quad, \text { trochanter, } & \text {, genu, tibia, } \\ 4: \quad \text {, trochanter, } & \text {, tibia. }\end{array}$
Deutonympha. - Length $1000 \mu$. Colour darker straw-coloured. Fig. 37 represents the dorsal side of it. The principal differences between this nympha and the protonympha are especially the following: 1. There is only one dorsal shield, which ows its origin to the fusion of the two dorsal shields of the latter. 2. Instead of one hair above the coxa 4 it is provided with about 16 pairs of long hairs! The stigma is more lateral than ventral.

Fig. 38 shows us the ventral side of the deutonympha. It differs from the protonympha by: 1. There is a small jugular shield; 2. The sternal shield is better chitinized, more shield-shaped than pyriform, provided with 2 pairs of pores; 3. Between the sternal and anal shields not 4 but about 16 pairs of hairs; 4 . The
peritrema reaches ventrally more inward; 5. The anal shield is more pyriform, longer; 6. The legs are somewhat longer than in the protonympha. About the hairs of the dorsal and lateral rows the same as the protonympha. Of the ventral rows the outer rows show robust hairs, directed outward, on the following joints:
leg. 1: , trochanter, , genu, tibia,
2: cosa, trochanter, 2 on femur, genu, tibia,
3: , trochanter, (femur) , genu, tibia,
4: , trochanter, , genu, tibia.
I have put the femur 3 in parentheses, because I have individuals in which the mentioned hair is minute, and others, where it is robust.

Fig. 39 is a male, seen from above. Length $1000 \mu$. - Colour between straw- and isabel-colour. - The principal differences between the male and the deutonympha are: 1. Between the palps the two dorsally crooked appendages of the mandibles are visible; 2. The stigma is dorsal.

Fig. 40. And on the ventral side: 1. The sternal shield is much larger, excavated anteriorly to receive the chitinous ring of the genital aperture, and having angles in the direction between the coxae 1 and 2 , and between the coxae 2 and $3 ; 2$. There are small intercoxal shields between coxae 1 and 2.

The males are immediately recognizable, even by a pocket mag-nifying-glass, by their long and slender legs. About the hairs of the dorsal and lateral rows, the same as in the proto- and the deutonympha. Of the ventral rows the outer rows show robust hairs, directed outward, on the following joints:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { leg. 1: } & \text {, trochanter, } & \text { genu, tibia, } \\
\text { 2: coxa, trochanter, } 1 \text { or } 2 \text { on femur, genu, tibia, } \\
3: & \text {, trochanter, femur } & \text {, genu, tibia, } \\
\text { 4: } & \text {, trochanter, } & \text { genu, tibia. }
\end{array}
$$

Fig. 41. Female, dorsal view. The female, delineated by me is a pregnant one, with full grown embryo. - The colour of the unprotected skin is pale; the dorsal shield is red-brown; the coxae and the sternal shield brown; the legs dark, from straw-
coloured to isabel-coloured. - Length (pregnant) $15: 2 \mu . \quad-$ Remark that the stigma is ventral, the peritrema only ventral and lateral; no question of dorsal stigma and peritrema! The female has behind the dorsal shield much more (and smaller) hairs than the deutonympha and the male.

Fig. 42. Female. Ventral side. - The sternal shield is between pyriform and circular. The jugular and intercoxal shields present. Behind the sternal shield the transverse genital opening, sometimes scarcely, at other instances very easily observable. Behind this split a small long-triangular genital shield! and then about hundred small hairs, before the small, circular anal shield.

The legs in the females are thicker than in the other stages. All what is said of the hairs of the legs of the males, is applicable here too.

Habitat: Vespertilio murinus, V. dasycneme, Vesperugo noctula, Plecotus auritus.

Patria: Netherlands, Germany, France, Russia.

## 28. Key to the species of Spinturnix v. Heyden.

Dorsal shield of male subcircular; fingers of mandibles smooth; female abd. broad and round. . Sp. euryalis (Can.) ${ }^{1}$ ) Dorsal shield of $\sigma^{7}$ rhomboid; fingers of mandibles denticulate; Q abd. small, rounded. . . . 2 .
All the hairs of the veutral side of the legs small . . . . . Sp. vespertilionis (L.) Some of the hairs of the outer row on the ventral side of the legs robust, planted and directed outward

Sp. mystacinus (Klti.)

[^1]
## 99. Thrombidium tinctorium (L.).

(With Plate X, fig. 43--48).
„La moindre figure de caractères vaut mieux qu'une description de trois pages'. Thus Trouessart in Annales de la Société Entomologique de France; Bull. Entom.; Séance du 14 Nov. 1894, p. CCXLVII, when criticising Hugo Zimmermann's paper on some Sarcoptidae Avicolae.

Meauwhile it is quite insufficient to give one single figure of the end of a leg of Thrombidium tinctorium (L.), as will be seeu below. How many large Thrombidiums will still be discovered with the character (tarsus shorter than tibia 1) drawn by Prof. Trouessart?

Prof. Trouessart says he has examined sundry specimens of different tropical regions. Yet he seems not to have observed the enormous differences in length of the legs in different specimens, even of the same locality.

In my figure 43 you contemplate a »longlegged" form, with almost yellow legs, with brownish streak on the dorsal side of the joints of the legs, and brownish hairs on the distal ends of these joints. If we compare these particulars with those given by C. L. Koch of his Thrombidium flavipes (Uebers. d. Arachn. syst. จ. 3 , p. 45 , tab. 8, fig. 39), we must admit this his » unique specimen, caught in the neighbourhood of Bordeaux" and hitherto not refound by French entomologists, was nothing but a longand yellow-legged individual of Thrombidium tinctorium (L.), most probably brought in Bordeaux by the navigation from tropical regions, and strayed in the environs of the sea-port town. Therefore I consider Koch's Thrombidium flavipes synonym to Thrombidium tinctorium (L.).

Trouessart does not say anything of the furrows on the animal's dorsal side. These are characteristic enough (Fig. 43). In the foremost third part three longitudinal furrows, united posteriorly by an almost transverse furrow; this is bowed somewhat forward; the outer of the three above mentioned furrows extend farther backward over the middle third part of the abdomen, but these their
extensions are bowed outward, so that they form with the forward curved transversal furrow a posteriorly open circle. In the centre of this circle there is a deep pit. In the hindmost third part of the dorsum there is a second deep pit, central or median too, and there are indistinct continuations of the lateral longitudinal furrows, again bowed outward, but more remote one form another.

Trouessart does not tell us anything of the animal's ventral side, and this is characteristic too. Fig. 44 shows us the total coalescence of the bases of the maxillae and of the maxillae themselves; the long coxae of the first pair of legs, which almost touch in the median line, and leave a light coloured triangle between the maxillar plate and themselves, and a light coloured line between their own proximal ends; the nearly triangular coxae of the second pair of legs, contignous with the coxae 1 ; the nearly triangular coxae 3 ; the broad and nearly trapezoidal coxae 4 ; the enormously developed trochanteres 3 and 4 , especially 4 ; the genital split, shut by the two genital covers, which by their transparency give us to appear the 6 genital suckers; the position of the genital opening, being one third before and two thirds behind the level of the distal ends of the coxae 4 ; the tolerably small anus, covered by two anal plates and situated far backward, being remote from the posterior margin of the abdomen not even its own width; and finally the light colour of the ventral side itself and the dark, almost brown colour of the chitinous parts of it.

The hairs are well described by Trouessart, viz: they being "des poils fasciculés". Yes, but there are great differences between the "poils fasciculés" (I propose the term „hairy hairs") of different species. In figure 45 I have drawn one of the dorsum of our present species. It is obvious that the small hairs which ornament our hair are the largest on its proximal and the smallest on its distal end, and that they are deviating almost 45 degrees from the stem on its proximal end, and the lesser the more they reach the distal end. The stem is hairy all around, but I cannot discern an arrangement of its villosity in a quincunx, as Pagenstecher
tells us of Tr. gymnopterorum (L.) (N. B. called by him Tr. holesericeum (L.) (sic!))

Of the legs it is necessary to mention that, according to their lengths the range is: $1,2,4,3$; that the hairs of the last pair are in geveral longer, those of the first pair in general shorter; that of all the legs the proximal joints bear in general longer hairs than the distal joints; and that the distal ends of all the joints of all the legs bear in general longer hairs than their proximal ends. - I don't observe any hairy caruncle (pulvillum, scopula) on the legs. Trouessart asserts it is present.

The mandibles (Fig. 46) have a very short blunt and rounded fixed finger ( $m . d . f$.) and a claw-like large movable one ( $m . d . m$.). They pinch between their bases the stigmata ( $s$ ).

The maxillae $(m x)$ are wholly fused together. Their palps are inserted on the dorsal side (!) of the coalesced bases of the maxillae ( $m$. p.). The triangle formed by the maxillae and the mandibles is distinctly demarcated from the rest of the body (along the line $a$ ). Consequently there is a very pseudocapitulum or capitulum, which is flat and horizontal on its dorsal side.

Immediately behind the capitulum, behind the line a (Fig. 46), the abdomen (or notogaster) stands perpendicularly (between the lines $a$ and $b$. Further the abdomen covers, like a prominent forehead the capitulum, in some individuals partly (Fig. 43), in others, especially females, even so far, that only the »claws" of the palps are visible, when the animal is viewed from its dorsal side.

In fig. 46, o signifies the base of the pedunculate eyes, which are situated on the same level with the foremost, dark coloured, well chitinized part of the crista, so that the pseudostigmata are an a level behind the eyes. In fig. $46 p 1$ signifies the implantation of the first pair of legs, which are consequently on a level before the eyes.

Fig. 47 represents the greater part of the crista, with the two pseudostigmata and the pseudostigmatic organs.

On page XLIV of the Bulletin Eutomologique (Annales de la Soc. Ent. de Fr., 1894) Prof. Trouessart mentions that he has
been in the »occasion to observe this organ in the large Irombidiums of the intertropical regions (Thrombidium tinctorium, etc.'). He then describes the crista of Thrombidium tinctorium, and does not make any mention of those of the »etc." species. I cannot believe that the crista of Thr. dugesi and Thr. gigas are perfectly the same in all details as that of Tr. tinctorium. In this description he compares the pseudostigma with a thimble, and indead it is a hyalin thimble-like cup. Also the pseudostigmatic organ is well described by him. Both pseudostigma and pseudostigmatic organ are further compared with the same organs of Oribatidae.

It was however already in 1885 that Berlese compared these organs of Tarsonemidae with those of Iribatidae which led him even to unite these two families into one group. At present we know that Tarsonemidae are in no relation with Oribatidae, but are Prostigmata, like the Thrombidiidae, so that they even are united by some authors with the last mentioned family into one and the same group.

Then (p. XLV, sqq. of the same Bulletin) Dr. Trouessart compares the two areolae with their pseudostigmata of Thrombidium tinctorium in particular, and those of the Thrombidiidae in general with the pair of median eyes of Smaris lyncaea, and on the likeness of these two different organs he bases a hypothesis which derives the areolae with their pseudostigmata from median eyes. Now I think this hypothesis crumbles at once as Smaris lyncaea has no median eyes at all! This so called pair of median eyes are nothing else but again areolae with pseudostigmata! (See my paper »Drei neue Acari von der Insel Juist", - Abh. Nat. Ver. Brem. 1901, v, 17, p. 226).

Therefore we should only have to compare the position of the areolae with the median eyes of Gigantostraca, Xiphosura, Scorpiones, Thelyphones, Phrynes, Araneae, Galeodeae, Phalangida and among the Acari: of the genera Limnochares and Eulais, which latter, like the areolae of Thrombidium, are enclosed within a chitinous apparatus.

But, if we are comparing, we are obliged to admit another
hypothesis, viz. that the single median eye of the genera Michaelia, Ammonia (both Thrombidiidae), Hydryphantes, Thyas, Eupatra, etc. (all Hydrachnidae), has its origin in a confluence of the two median eyes of their ancestors. And if this is adopted, we must go farther and reason, that it is very probable that the single median pigment-spot of Halacaridae is originated from a single median eye of their aucestors. But alas, what rests of the hypothesis, since I have found in the same larva of Thrombidium areolae (pseudostigmata) together with a median pigment spot? (This curious larva will be described and figured in a subsequent contribution to the knowledge of Acari).

Ou p. 87 of the Annales de la Societé Ent. de Fir., 1894, Dr. Trouessart writes, that he possesses a large quantity of larves of Thrombidiums of warmer regions, and also some larvae called Tlazahuatl in Mexico. Further he says: »Elles ne diffèrent ni par la taille, ni par aucun caractère de valeur spécifique, de notre type indigène pas plus qu'elles ne diffèrent entre elles". It is impossible to me to agree with him, since I have examined six different larvae. They widely differ one from another! But I agree with him where he asserts: »il est impossible de déterminer l'espèce à laquelle elles se rapportent'. Yet it is a pity that he has not described and figured the different larvae in his possession, for the knowledge of these larvae, their segmentation, their pseudostigmata, their pseudostigmatic organs, their »Urtracheeen", and their dermic shields is of great value.

On p. 88 he asserts that Thrombidium tinctorium »se décolore complètement'". In how much time, I may ask, for my specimens of 1889 and 1896 are yet coloured light vermillon. In dissecting my Thrombidium tinctorium a kind of coloured oil flowed out of the abdomen of one of my . Dr. Trouessart states that only Thrombidium gigas possesses »de gouttelettes de graisse fortement colorée en rouge orangé".

On p. 90 he cites amongst the characters of Thr tinctorium: »Ongle du palpe dépassant l'extrémité de la massue du dernier article". We have only to contemplate my fig. 48, which is drawn
with the aide of a camera lucida of $\mathrm{Abbe}_{\text {b }}$, to be convinced at once of the fact, that the »claw" of the palp is just as long as the »club".

In the beginning of this my article of Thr. tinctorium I said already that the legs vary much in length. This difference is so large, that my two females should belong to two different species, if we only attached value to the length of their legs!!

I give here a table of measures of my eight specimens. They are exact in hundredths of millimeters.

Remarkable are the enormous legs of the male $n^{\circ} 3$ and of the female $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 2$.

|  | Males |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| Length of body with palps | 9.34 | 7.30 | 9.34 | 8.46 | 9.34 | 9.00 | 13.00 | 13.50 |
| Length of notogaster | 7.30 | 5.25 | 7.00 | 6.71 | 7.30 | 7.00 | 11.50 | 12.50 |
| Width of body on shoulders | 5.25 | 4.96 | 4.96 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 5.50 | 9.00 | 9.50 |
| Length of first leg. | 6.57 | 6.57 | 6.71 | 5.78 | 6.42 | 6.27 | 7.59 | 9.49 |
| Length of fourth leg | 4.96 | 4.96 | 4.96 | 5.11 | 4.96 | 4.96 | 6.71 | 7.88 |
| Length of tibia of leg 1.. | 1.60 | 160 | 1.60 | 1.31 | 1.46 | 1.60 | 1.75 | 2.62 |
| Lenth of tarsus of leg 1 | 1.46 | 1.46 | 1.31 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.16 | 1.60 | 2.04 |

## 30. Trichotarsus intermedins Oudms., nov. sp.

(With Plate X, fig. 49-50).
Hypopus. -- Length $196 \mu$. - Dorsal side (Fig. 49). We observe two dorsal shields. In some particulars the animal is allied to Tr. ornatus Oudms., Tr. manicati Giard and Tr. trifilis Can., e. g. by having no strong bristles, and by two dorsal shields. But in other particulars it approaches T. osmiae (Duf.), Tr. alfkeni Oudms., Tr. koptorthosomae Oudms., Tr. xylocopae (Donnad.), Tr. bifilis Can. and Tr. japonicus Oudms., e. g. by its being bairy and by the absence of a claw on tarsus 4 . Therefore I have called it Tr. intermedius. The markings on the shields imitate scales with blunt edges. Besides the two rostral and the two edge-hairs, there are two pairs of other hairs, directed backward on the anterior, nearly triangular shield. The posterior shield has 5 transverse
rows of 4 hairs each, thus segmentally arranged. The hyalin posterior margin of the sucker-plate profects beyond the posterior edge of the abdomen.

Ventral side. (Fig. 50). Behind the sloulders there is a hair, directed outward and backward, therefore being visible when the animal is viewed on its dorsal side. The sucker-plate is small and contents eight distinct suckers, of which two are large, the other six smaller and of equal size, standing before, on the sides, and behind the two large ones. Moreover on the sides of the two anterior suckers there is an indication of two other suckers.

Legs. The tibiae $1-3$ bear a long tactile hair. The tarsi $1-2$ bear one olfactory hair, one short and two long tactile, and four lancet-shaped hairs. The tarsus 3 is provided with one tactile hair and four lancet-shaped hairs. The tarsus 4 has 5 hairs, of which two have the dimension of about 20 , two of about 75 and one of about $120 \mu$. The tarsi 1-3 have minute claws.

Habitat: on Stelis phaeoptera Kirby, a bee living in the nests of Osmia leiana Kirby.

Patria: Germany.

## 3I. Trichotarsus Can.

If we study the hypopi of the different species of Trichotarsus yet known, we immediately observe two groups. In one of them, which I will call group $\alpha$, we are obliged to join the more tender forms, with minute claws, setiform, or even minute hairs and two dorsal shields. And in the other group, say group $\beta$, I should like to unite T. osmiae, xylocopae, and the others, which have the same external feature, viz. an almost round body, enormous claws and strong bristles.

On examining the forms of the group $x$, we may separate one of the species, which has many hairs, no claw on tarsus 4 , and a form intermediate to the other species of group $\alpha$ and those of the group $\beta$. I bave therefore called this species $T r$. intermedius.

On the other side, in group $\beta, T r$. osmiae approaches the spe-
cies of group $\alpha$, by having two dorsal shields. I therefore propose the following division and key.

## 32. Key to the species of Trichotarsus Can.

Hypopi.
Two dorsal shields; tarsi 1-4 with minute claws; circumference of body suboval; dorsum almost hairless; group $A$. . 2.

Tarsus 4 without claw 4.

Tarsi $1-3$ without lanceolate hairs. 3.
Tarsi 1-3 with lanceolate hairs . Tr ornatus Oudms.

Eight suckers . . . . . . . Tr. trifilis Can.
( Two dorsal shields; tarsi 1-3 with minute claws; circumference of body suboval; dorsum with hairs; group B . . . . . . . . Tr. intermedius Oudms. Tarsi $1-3$ with strong claws: circumference of body subcircular; dorsum with strong bristles . . 5 .
$5\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Two dorsal shields; group C . . Tr. osmiae (Duf.). }\end{array}\right.$
! One dorsal shields ; group $D$. . 6.
$6\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Tarsi 1-3 with } 1 \text { claw . . . . } 7 .\end{array}\right.$
$7\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Tarsus } 4 \text { with one hair . . . } 8 . \\ \text { Tarsus } 4 \text { with two hairs . . . . } 9 .\end{array}\right.$
$8\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Tarsus } 1 \text { without lanceolate hairs. Tr. sylocopae (Donuad.) } \\ \text { Tarsus } 1 \text { with lanceolate hairs. . Tr. koptorthosomae } 0 .\end{array}\right.$
$9\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Tarsi 1-3 without lanceolate hairs Tr. biflis Can. }\end{array}\right.$ Tarsi 1-3 with lanceolate hairs . Tr. japonicus Oudms.
10 Only one species . . . . . . . Tr. alfkeni Oudms.
Arnhem, 1 Sept. 1901.

## EXPLICATION OF THE FIGURES.

## PLATE VIII.

Fig. 1-5. Parasitus marinus (Brady).
, 6-8. 2 evertsi Oudms., nov. sp.
, 9-13. Laelaps agilis C. L. Koch.
, 14-15. Emeus pyrenaicus Oudms. nov. sp.

* 16-18. 》 bosschai Oudms., nov. sp.
» 19-22. Liponyssus musculi (C. L. Koch).


## Plate IX.

Fig. 23 -26. Liponyssus musculi (C. L. Koch).
> 27-29. D chelophorus Oudms., nov. sp.
, 30-31. > spinosus Ondm., nov. sp.
„ 32-37. Spinturnix mystacina (Klti.).

## PLATE X.

Fig. 38-42. spinturnix mystacina (Klti.).
> 43-48. Thrombidium tinctorium (L.).
D 49-50. Trichotarsus intermedius Oudms., nov. sp.



A. C. Ondemans del.
G. S. H. aut.


[^0]:    1) The Ist Series appeared 15, I, 1897, in the Tijdschift voor Entomologie, vol. 39, p. 175-187.

    The IInd Series appeared 5, IX, 1900, in the Tijdschrift voor Entomologie, vol. 43, p. 99-128.

    The IIId Series appeared 30, IX, 1901, in the Tijdschrift der Ned. Dierk. Vereen. ser. 2, vol. 7, p. 50-87.
    The Series are independent from oue another.
    O.

[^1]:    1) Most probably this species does not belong to the genus in question. $O$.
